# **Equality Impact Assessment** of Approach D



#### Introduction

This form is an Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA). This is used to review services or new policies. The purpose of the Equality Impact Assessment is to produce fair and consistent services for our staff and customers. It is also a legal duty to prevent discrimination.

Oxfordshire publishes all its EQIAs so customers know we take fair treatment and equal life chances seriously. This document is a standard template to help you organise the assessment. Please use the tip sheets on-line to help complete the assessment. There are 6 steps:

1. Screening Is an Equality Impact Assessment needed?

2. Planning What the EQIA will focus on and who is involved.

3. Gather Information What information and feedback is needed.

4. Make a Judgement How the policy promotes equality and prevents discrimination

5. Take Action Actions are identified and improvements monitored.6. Publication & Review EQIA checked, published on-line and later reviewed.

Completing an EQIA form can be very quick e.g. 1-2 hours, however gathering the information, consultation or advice will take longer and needs to be planned in advance, please use the above guidance to plan what information you need.

When you have COMPLETED the document please complete this front page summary:

FRONT PAGE SUMMARY of assessment			
Name of Directorate	Oxford Transport Strategy, Highways & Transport, Environment		
& Service	& Economy		
Name of Policy or	Frideswide Square Design Approach D - the removal of all the		
Service reviewed	traffic signals in the square in favour of a completely new and		
	greatly simplified layout based on compact roundabouts and		
	slow smoothly flowing traffic.		
Summary of	This equalities impact assessment reveals that the proposals		
assessment	have potential to negatively impact visually impaired pedestrians and younger children pedestrians.		
	The key action is to work closely with visually impaired people and groups that represent them to add features that will help them use the square independently. Consultation carried out to date suggests there are a number of changes to the design that may achieve this. This should also help address the needs of young unaccompanied children and people with learning difficulties.		

Summary of actions	Assessment of consultation responses
	Assessment of impacts on identified groups

#### Step 1 Screening

1.1 Check guidance notes to determine if you need to complete an EQIA.

#### Step 2 Planning See Guidance Note

2.1 What is being assessed?

The impact of the removal of all the traffic signals in Frideswide Square in favour of a completely new and greatly simplified layout based on compact roundabouts and smoothly flowing traffic.

2.2 Who is responsible for the assessment? Who else may be involved to provide additional expertise? Include names and job titles.

Martin Kraftl – Senior Transport Planner Victoria Butterworth – Assistant Transport Planner

2.3 What is the main purpose of the service / policy?

#### Improve the public realm

Create a public space which complements and enhances its context and provides a welcoming gateway to Oxford.

#### Simplify the layout

Create a simple, uncluttered layout that is easy for all users to navigate without taking unnecessary detours.

#### Reduce delays in the square and on the approaches

Enable everyone to move through the space efficiently and safely.

Co-ordinate the surrounding road network with Frideswide Square to protect buses from congestion and improve air quality in the city centre

#### **Promote sustainable transport**

Give priority to movement by pedestrians, cyclists and public transport users.

#### Step 3 Gathering Information See Guidance Note

3.1 What **information** do you need to make an assessment about who your customers are and what their needs are? Remember to consider *age*, *disability*, *gender*, *race*, *religion* & *belief* and *sexual orientation*.

Face-to-face surveys

Stakeholder consultation surveys

Meetings with stakeholders and user groups

3.2 If you record who your **customers** are does the profile of customer groups reflect the local population? *If not, is there a justifiable reason for any differences?* 

Not applicable – our custom	ers are the whole population of Oxfordshire.		
	or <b>services are used</b> , does the data indicate that there are uing of library books, referrals to services.		
People with various disabiliti space and the transport syst	les are likely to face particular barriers in using public tem.		
	ck, comments or complaints from customers have you evidence of direct or indirect discrimination?		
n/a			
3.5 Have you <b>consulted</b> understand the impact	any customers, community organisations, or colleagues to		
Stakeholder groups were ide	entified and consulted.		
Step 4 Making a Judgemer	nt See Guidance Note		
4.1 <u>AGE</u>			
different ages.			
	without an adult, may be less confident pedestrians. The crossings within the square could make it more difficult independently.		
Disabilities associated with o	old age are covered in the Disability section.		
Overall beneficial impact for passengers of all ages.	cyclists, public transport users and car drivers and		
b) From the evidence av	vailable, does the service / policy affect or have the ne ages differently?		
Yes ✓ No			
c) If yes, could any of the d			
Dawie we an acceptive immedia	Reason, evidence, comment		
Barriers or negative impact Less confident children may be hesitant / avoid crossing the road in the absence of signal controlled crossings. This may prevent them taking their desir route through the square, take them longer or result them avoiding the square altogether.			
Neutral Impact	anom avoiding the equals altogether.		

Positive impact	For all those other than young unaccompanied	
	children, the square will reduce delays, be easier to	
	cross and be a more pleasant place to be. Although	
	younger children may feel less safe, in practice safety	
	should improve due to lower traffic speeds and better	
	driver awareness of vulnerable road users.	

#### 4.2 **DISABILITY**

a) Using the information available, identify or predict the effect of this policy on people with disabilities.

Visually impaired pedestrians – removal of signal controlled crossings will make it more difficult to cross.

Mobility impaired pedestrians – slow smoothly flowing traffic will enable pedestrians to cross at any point and chose their most direct route i.e., reducing walking distances.

Raised courtesy crossings, refuges and narrow roads will make crossing significantly easier than at present and reduce delays. Wheelchair users will benefit from flush crossings.

People with learning difficulties – layout will be different from many busy junctions as there will be no traffic signals. However, the layout will be much simpler than existing layout. Some training may be beneficial to help people with learning difficulties use the courtesy crossings.

Mobility impaired bus users – Kerb at bus stops will enable level boarding and alighting.

Bus users with any disability – eastbound bus passengers will not have to cross a road to reach the station (major improvement over existing situation, where bus users must cross four lanes of traffic). Westbound bus passengers will only have to cross two lanes of traffic, rather than six lanes (two bus, four traffic, as at present).

Bus users with any disability will benefit from reduced delays to buses

Bus stops are located on sides of the square with buildings rather than on islands so will assist with orientation (building lines act as a guide).

Mobility impaired car drivers – Same benefits for all car users – reduced delays.

b)	From the evidence available, does the service / policy affect or have the potential to affect some people with disabilities differently?	
Yes	✓ No	
c)	If yes, could any of the differences amount to:	
	Reason, evidence, comment	

Barriers or negative impact	Those with visual impairments will be the most affected because independent crossing may be perceived to more difficult within the square
Neutral Impact	
Positive impact	Mobility impaired pedestrians – slow smoothly flowing traffic will enable pedestrians to cross at any point and chose their most direct route i.e., reducing walking distances.
	Raised courtesy crossings, refuges and narrow roads will make crossing significantly easier than at present and reduce delays. Wheelchair users will benefit from flush crossings.
	Mobility impaired bus users – Kerb at bus stops will enable level boarding and alighting.
	Bus users with any disability – eastbound bus passengers will not have to cross a road to reach the station (major improvement over existing situation, where bus users must cross four lanes of traffic). Westbound bus passengers will only have to cross two lanes of traffic, rather than six lanes (two bus, four traffic) as at present.
	Bus users with any disability will benefit from reduced delays to buses.
	Bus stops are located on sides of the square with buildings rather than on islands so will assist with orientation (building lines act as a guide).  Mobility impaired car drivers – Same benefits for all car users – reduced delays.

## Step 4 Making a Judgement, continued

## 4.3 **GENDER**

a) Using the information available, identify or predict the effect of this policy on males, females and transgender people.

The proposals will not have a differential impact on people of different gender.

b)	From the evidence available, does the service / policy affect or have the potential to affect some males, females and transgender people differently?
Yes	No ✓

c) If yes, could any of the differences amount to:			
	Reason, evidence, comment		
Barriers or negative impact			
No. 1 of Local district			
Neutral Impact			
Positive impact			
T contro impaor			
4.4 <u>RACE</u>			
a) Using the information different racial groups	available, identify or predict the effect of this policy on .		
The proposals will not have a	a differential impact on people of different race.		
b) From the evidence av	ailable, does the service / policy affect or have the		
<u> </u>	e racial groups differently?		
Yes No V			
d) If yes could any of the di	fferences amount to:		
d) If yes, could any of the differences amount to:  Reason, evidence, comment			
Barriers or negative	,		
impact			
Neutral Impact			
Day'll a larger			
Positive impact			
Step 4. Making a Judgeme	nt, continued		
4.5 <u>RELIGION &amp; BELIEF</u>			
a) Using the information available, identify or predict the effect of this policy on people with different religions or different beliefs.			
	a differential impact on people of different religion or		
beliefs.			
b) From the evidence av	ailable, does the service / policy affect or have the		
<u> </u>	e people of different religions & beliefs?		
Yes No ✓			

c) If yes, could any of the differences amount to:			
	Reason, evidence, comment		
Barriers or negative impact			
Neutral Impact			
Positive impact			
4.6 <u>HETEROSEXUAL, LI</u>	ESBIAN, GAY & BISEXUAL		
,	available, identify or predict the effect of this policy on , gay & bisexual people.		
The proposals will not have	a differential impact on people of different sexuality.		
,	vailable, does the service / policy affect or have the erosexual, lesbian, gay & bisexual people differently?		
e) If yes, could any of the d	ifferences amount to:		
	Reason, evidence, comment		
Barriers or negative impact			
Neutral Impact			
Positive impact			
	tion available, identify if any of the following factors might n how the policy is carried out:		
<ul> <li>Educational Attainment –</li> <li>Worklessness or Low Inco</li> <li>Quality of Health – schem improved health.</li> <li>Crime or Fear of Crime –</li> </ul>	No impact.  ome – No impact.  e should encourage walking and cycling, leading to  Details of the space, including landscaping, lighting, and lesigned carefully to deter crime and anti-social ear of crime.		

• Access to Transport – The objectives of the proposals include; improving the public realm, reducing delays and promoting sustainable transport. Access to transport has therefore been a major driving factor and key consideration in working up the proposals to ensure that public transport facilities are made more attractive and that interchange opportunities are optimised. In particular, links to Oxford station will be improved and delays to buses reduced.

#### b) If yes, could any of the differences amount to:

,			
	Reason, evidence, comment		
Barriers or negative			
impact			
Neutral Impact			
Positive impact	Improvement to the public realm will make the area more welcoming and attractive, and reduce anti-social behaviour and fear of crime.		
	Improved design of bus stop facilities and layout will improve access to public transport, including vital links to Oxford rail station. Delays to buses will be reduced.		

#### **Step 5 Taking Action** See Guidance Notes

### 5.1 Improvement plan

-		
Desired Outcome and Required Action	Lead Manager	Timescale
Work closely with visually impaired people and groups that represent them to add features that will help them use the square independently. Consultation carried out to date suggests there are a number of changes to the design that may achieve this. This should also help address the needs of young unaccompanied children and people with learning difficulities.	Craig Rossington	On-going, but particularly at detailed design stage.

- 5.2 If you have identified any areas for improvement please state what **targets** you have set to monitor improvement. (See Guidance Notes for Support)
  - Please state what will be written in business plans
  - Please state what will be monitored in scorecards
  - Please state what will be included in appraisals
- 5.2 If you administer **grants**, please state how you address the issues in this assessment.
  - Through eligibility criteria
  - Through monitoring
- *5.3* If you **procure** services please state how you address the issues in this assessment.
  - Through invitation to tender
  - Through post-contract management & monitoring

## **Step 6 Publication & Review** PLEASE *ONLY* USE THIS BOX IF:

- There are small amendments to the existing **policy**.
- There are reasons to suppose in this situation a difference in **impact** on staff or customers

6.1 Any other changes			
Describe Change	Impact of Change	Mitigation	
Work closely with visually impaired people and groups that represent them to add features that will help them use the square independently. Consultation carried out to date suggests there are a number of changes to the design that may achieve this.	Minimise the negative impact on visually impaired people.	Use detailed design features to assist visually impaired people with independent navigation within the square and leading up to the square.	